UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,464	4 06/03/2005 Shin Takahashi		Q88254	4727
65565 SUGHRUE-265	7590 05/04/200 5 550	9	EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVE. NW		HAUTH, GALEN H	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/537,464	TAKAHASHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
GALEN HAUTH	1791	

	GALEN HAUTH	1791	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI	CATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	e same day as filing a Notice of A plies: (1) an amendment, affidavit (with appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid abandonment , or other evidence, which plac with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Rec	es the
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later th may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	sion and the corresponding amount cortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropriate extensionally set in the final Office action; o	on fee r (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content.	ideration and/or search (see NOT ; ⁻ form for appeal by materially red	E below); ucing or simplifying the issues	for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1164. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1215. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).	and 41.33(a)). See attached Notice of Non-Cor	npliant Amendment (PTOL-324	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provid The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-8</u> .		be entered and an explanation	n of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and swas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ufficient reasons why the affidavit	or other evidence is necessar	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	rcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails to provid	de a
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but determined. 			. A.
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P		sometion for allowalito because	
13.			
/Christina Johnson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims as amended raise a new combination of limitations that has not previously been considered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regards to applicant's argument that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would not have found the claimed viscosity obvious, this argument is not persuasive as Takahashi teaches varying the viscosity (col 8 ln 1-3) thus rendering the viscosity as a result effective variable which is obvious to vary including the range taught by applicant with the lack of a showing of unexpected results. With regards to applicant's argument that Burk fails to teach a quality of the solubility parameter, this argument is not found persuasive in that the art recognizes the release properties of the materials (col 1 ln 20-26).